AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATIO	1. CONTRACT ID CO	1. CONTRACT ID CODE		OF PAGES		
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 07/14/2022	4. REQUISITION/PURC PR10854229-0001	HASE REQ. NO.	ASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT		
6. ISSUED BY CODE GG800  AMERICAN EMBASSY TBILISI 29 Georgian American Friendship Avenue, ATTN: GSO/PROCUREMENT TBILISI 0131 GEORGIA		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE  AMERICAN EMBASSY TBILISI 29 Georgian American Friendship Avenue, ATTN: GSO/PROCUREMENT TBILISI 0131 GEORGIA				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street		NO. 19GG8022Q000  9B. DATED (SE 07/08/2022	NO. 19GG8022Q0007 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)			
CODE	FACILITY CODE  EM ONLY APPLIES TO A					
	copies of the amendment; prence to the solicitation and amer PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DA tted, such change may be made hour and date specified.  uired)  FEM ONLY APPLIES TO DIFIES THE CONTRACT/OURSUANT TO: (Specify authorio	(b) By acknowledging recondment numbers. FAILURE TE SPECIFIED MAY RESIDENT FOR THE SPECIFIED	eipt of this amendment of E OF YOUR ACKNOWLE ULT IN REJECTION OF ded each telegram or lett CONTRACTS/ORI SCRIBED IN ITEM	n each copy of EDGMENT TO YOUR OFFER er makes reference.  DERS. 14. MADE IN THE	BE RECEIV R. If by virtue ence to the s	/ED AT THE e of this solicitation
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this	document and return	сор	ies to the is	suing offic	ce.
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (of Amendment is issued to change evaluation amendment does not change solicitation due of the amendment does not change evaluation due of the amendment does not change evaluation due of the amendment does not change evaluation of the amendment does not change solicitation due of the amendment does not	criteria. The Section 4 - Ev late 14:00 local time, on A	valuation Criteria is c ugust 8, 2022	hanged accordingly	see attach	ce and effec	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF	FAMERICA		16C. DA	ATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of	Contracting Officer)			

The U.S. Government intends to award a contract to the responsible offeror representing best value using comparative evaluation authorized under FAR 13.106-2(b) (3).A comparative evaluation is defined as the act of comparing two or more offers in response to the RFQ. The item-by-item comparison is performed by comparing each offer directly to one another to determine which provides the best value to the Department.

Comparative evaluation is NOT a low price technically acceptable (LPTA) or trade-off process. Prospective offers must still meet basic standards for responsibility at FAR 9.104 and solicitation compliance to be eligible for award. We intend to award a contract based on initial quotations, without holding discussions, although we may hold discussions with companies if there is a need to do so.

Consequently Section 4 -Evaluation Criteria of the solicitation 19GG8022Q0007 is replaced with below:

### **SECTION 4 - EVALUATION**

The Government intends to establish a single indefinite quantity contract that includes a one-year base year plus four (4) 12-month options, resulting from this solicitation to the responsible contractor whose quotation conforms to the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government, price and other factors considered utilizing FAR 13 Comparative Evaluation.

This selection process will utilize FAR 13 procedures.

After preliminary consideration of all offers, the Department will no longer consider offers that do not meet basic standards to be eligible for award. The offers that remain will be subject to a comparative evaluation.

The preliminary evaluation process shall include the following:

COMPLIANCE REVIEW. The Government will perform an initial review of proposals/quotations received to determine completeness and compliance with the terms of the solicitation. The Government may reject as unacceptable proposals/quotations that do not conform to the solicitation.

### Comparative Evaluation

A comparative evaluation will be performed in accordance with FAR 13.106-2(b)(3). A comparative evaluation is defined as the act of comparing two or more offers in response to the RFQ. The item-by-item comparison is performed by comparing each offer to directly to one another to determine which provides the best value to the Department utilizing technical, past performance criteria and price as described below. This is NOT a low price technically acceptable or trade-off process.

### **Evaluation Criteria**

**Technical:** Technical will be evaluated on the basis of a direct comparison to other offers to discern if there is any kind of technical quality superiority that would support an award to a higher priced offer. Technical discriminators by requirement may include:

Minimum Technical Requirements	non-price/quality discriminators			
Calls within <b>Georgia</b>	24-hour coverage greater than 90% local network coverage around X; Latest technology as proxy for call quality/reception pre 4G/4G/5G etc.			
International Calls	24-hour coverage for international connectivity with the USA, all European countries, and all other worldwide;			
International Roaming	Identification of reputable partner; Number of minutes included, roll-over capability;			
Wireless Application Protocol (WAP)	Size/ease of access to Internet connection through offeror's network to the Wireless Application Protocol (WAP) Internet sites.			
SMS Messaging	Number of texts per cycle included; 24 hour availability/coverage (no outages or periods of unavailability)			
24-hour Customer Service	Live human reception after hours; direct line for preferred clients			
Detailed Billing of Calls Made	Includes itemized billing per call, minutes, number and total duration; Captures historical usage in regular invoicing; Access online			
Data Services	Evidence of reliability/latest technology; Size of plan, i.e. 20GB v. 10GB per plan; No prohibited use of "any equipment, system, or service that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system" per 52.204-24 & 52.204-26.			

**Past Performance**: Past performance will be evaluated on the basis of a direct comparison to other offers to discern if there is any significant past performance superiority that would support an award to a higher priced offer. Past performance as a discriminator may be appropriate where based on the offeror's performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

Price will be evaluated to ensure the proposed price is fair and reasonable. The price will be determined by multiplying the offered prices times the estimated quantities in "Prices - Continuation of SF-1449, block 23", and arriving at a grand total, including all options. The Government reserves the right to reject proposals that are unreasonably low or high in price.

RESPONSIBILITY DETERMINATION. The Government will determine contractor responsibility by analyzing whether the apparent successful offeror complies with the requirements of FAR 9.1, including:

- Adequate financial resources or the ability to obtain them;
- Ability to comply with the required performance period, taking into consideration all existing commercial and governmental business commitments;
- Satisfactory record of integrity and business ethics;
- Necessary organization, experience, and skills or the ability to obtain them;
- Necessary equipment and facilities or the ability to obtain them; and
- Be otherwise qualified and eligible to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations.

Offerors shall be registered in the SAM (System for Award Management) database at <a href="https://www.sam.gov">https://www.sam.gov</a> prior to submittal of their offer/proposal as prescribed under FAR 4.1102. Failure to be registered at time of proposal submission may deem the offeror's proposal to be considered non-responsible and no further consideration will be given. Therefore, offerors are highly encouraged to register immediately if they are interested in submitting a response to this requirement.

# ADDENDUM TO EVALUATION FAR AND DOSAR PROVISION(S) NOT PRESCRIBED IN PART 12

### THE FOLLOWING FAR PROVISIONS ARE PROVIDED IN FULL TEXT:

### 52.217-5 EVALUATION OF OPTIONS (JUL 1990)

The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

## 52.225-17 EVALUATION OF FOREIGN CURRENCY OFFERS (FEB 2000)

If the Government receives offers in more than one currency, the Government will evaluate offers by converting the foreign currency to United States currency using the exchange rate used by the Embassy in effect as follows:

- (a) For acquisitions conducted using sealed bidding procedures, on the date of bid opening.
- (b) For acquisitions conducted using negotiation procedures—
  - (1) On the date specified for receipt of offers, if award is based on initial offers; otherwise
  - (2) On the date specified for receipt of proposal revisions.